

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	LICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/785,576 02/24/2004		John H. Ring	KEMB,002	KEMB,002 9882	
7590 09/01/2006			EXAMINER		
Mark R. Wisn	= -	PRASAD, CHANDRIKA			
c/o Wisner & A Suite 400	ssociates	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1177 West Loo		2839			
Houston, TX	77027	DATE MAILED: 09/01/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ар	pplication No. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10	/785,576	RING ET AL.			
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit			
			andrika Prasad	2839			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 19 July 2	006.				
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
· <u></u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or ele	ction requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers			•			
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.	. •				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepte	d or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attach	**(a)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/785,576

Art Unit: 2839

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

- 1. The following is a quotation of an appropriate paragraph of 37 CFR 1.75:
  - (d) The claim or claims must conform to the invention as set forth in the remainder of the specification and the terms and phrases used in the claims must find clear support or antecedent basis in the description so that the meaning of the terms in the claims may be ascertainable by reference to the description. (See 1.58(a)).
- 2. Specification is objected under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(d) because the method of sealing comprising the steps given in claims 14-16 has not been described in the specification.
- 3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The method of sealing comprising the steps given in claims 14-16 has not been described in the specification.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/785,576 Page 3

Art Unit: 2839

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 9, 10, 14, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hall et al. (6821147).

Hall (Figures 1-13) shows a method of sealing a connector having a metal body with a bore 105, a conductor 60 extending through the bore, a thermoplastic jacket 10, 31, 32, 13, 33 applied over the conductor for sealing around the conductor by its radial outward expansion from its initial position to a second expanded position due to high temperature and/or pressure and a first ceramic insulating materials 30 and a second flexible insulating material 20, 25 interposed between the metal body and the conductor. The jacket is made of aromatic polyether ketone or PEEK.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 4, 6 and 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hall et al. (6821147).

Page 4

Hall discloses all the features of these claims except the insulating material to be made of glass or brazed metallized ceramic and the jacket made of a non-hydrolyzable thermoplastic. Hall discusses the use of various suitable materials. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to make the insulating material of glass or brazed metallized ceramic and the jacket of a non-hydrolyzable thermoplastic, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

10. Claims 8, 12-13 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hall et al. (6821147).

Hall discloses all the features of these claims except the use of o-rings and press-fitting or overmolding of the jacket. These features are common knowledge, well known and widely used in the art of electrical connectors. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to use o-rings and press-fitting or overmolding of the jacket because these would provide additional sealing which is common knowledge, well known and widely used in the art of electrical connectors.

#### Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2839

#### **Contact Information**

12. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad whose telephone number is (571) 272-2099. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be reached at (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Chandrika Prasad Primary examiner August 30, 2006